ISSN (E): 2708-2601 ISSN (P): 2708-2598

Medical Journal of South Punjab Article DOI:10.61581/MJSP.VOL05/02/01 Volume 5, Issue 2 (Special Issue), 2024



Exploring the Impact of Surgical Techniques on Postoperative Corneal Astigmatism: A Comparative Study between Phacoemulsification and Small Incision Cataract Surgery

Publication History

Received: Feb, 18, 2024 Revised: May 12, 2024 Accepted: May 14, 2024 Published: June 30, 2024

Authors and Affiliation:

Faiza Hassan¹, Asima Irshad², Syed Nishat Akram³, Qurat-ul-Ain Khan⁴, Taimur Khan⁵ ¹⁻³The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan,

⁴Riphah International University, Lahore, Pakistan, ⁵Max Vision, Dubai

***Corresponding Author Email**: <u>faiza5176@gmail.com</u>

Copyright & Licensing:



Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> (<u>CC-BY</u>) <u>4.0 License</u> that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

Conflict of Interest:

Author(s) declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment:

No Funding received.

Citation: Hassan F, Irshad A, Akram SN, Khan QA, Khan T. Exploring the Impact of Surgical Techniques on Postoperative Corneal Astigmatism: A Comparative Study between Phacoemulsification and Small Incision Cataract Surgery. Medical Journal of South Punjab. 2024 June 30; 5(2):61-68.

Please scan me to access online.



An official publication of

Medteach Private Limited, Multan, Pakistan.

Email: farman@mjsp.com.pk, Website: https://mjsp.com.pk/index.php/mjsp



Medical Journal of South Punjab Volume 5, Issue 2, 2024; pp: 61-68 **Original Article**



Exploring the Impact of Surgical Techniques on Postoperative Corneal Astigmatism: A Comparative Study between Phacoemulsification and Small Incision Cataract Surgery Faiza Hassan¹, Asima Irshad², Syed Nishat Akram³, Qurat-ul-Ain Khan⁴, Taimur Khan⁵ ¹⁻³The Superior University Lahore, Pakistan, ⁴Riphah International University, Lahore, Pakistan, ⁵Max Vision, Dubai *Corresponding Author Email: faiza5176@gmail.com ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the post-surgical corneal astigmatism after phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery (SICS) on the basis of incision length.

Methods: 140 participants, aged between 45-70 years, were divided into two groups, each having 70 patients undergoing cataract surgery by phacoemulsification and small incision. This was a randomized control trial designed to assess the outcomes of different incision lengths. The 70 patients in each group were further divided into two groups, with Group A including 35 patients based on an incision length of 1.75mm and Group B including 35 patients based on an incision length of 2.75mm during cataract surgery. All patients were thoroughly examined before surgery and after surgery on the first day, week, and sixth week.

Results: Mean age of participants was 1.41 ± 0.494 years. With little complications, both surgical procedures produced outstanding visual results. In patients who had phacoemulsification, the initial visual recovery at the sixth postoperative week was better. Phacoemulsification's MAE for astigmatism was 0.18 ± 0.914 D and for SICS was 0.136 ± 0.962 D. The initial difference with 1.75mm incision showed that there was no significant difference (P=0.172) and with 2.75mm showed phacoemulsification gave better cylindrical output (P=0.007).

Conclusion: Both the techniques, phacoemulsification and manual small incision cataract surgery (SICS) have demonstrated their efficacy in achieving excellent visual outcomes for cataract surgery. Phacoemulsification offers the advantage of early visual rehabilitation due to its smaller incision size.

Keywords: Astigmatism, Biometry, Cataract, Phacoemulsification, Small Incision Cataract Surgery.

1. INTRODUCTION

The word cataract refers to the natural crystalline lens continuing to lose some of its clarity, which would be generally caused by growing older. Cataract has become the most significant reason of blindness around the globe, and it is able to be corrected through surgical intervention. Over 11 million eyes are implanted with IOLs each year across the world¹. Although phacoemulsification is a particularly innovative technique for treating cataracts, occasionally there is no advancement in vision after this technique due to surgically induced astigmatism (SIA) or pre-existing astigmatism (PEA). Pre-existing surgical treatment aims to improve visual acuity (VA) while somehow reducing pre-existing astigmatism (PEA), that can lead to reduced VA and poor visual acuity effectiveness².

Efforts have been made to increase the accessibility and affordability of cataract surgery for those in need, increase access to eye care services, train healthcare professionals, and introduce less expensive surgical methods³. The current refractive technique aims to produce postoperative emmetropia as well as, the best possible visual outcome and an immediate improvement in function, meaning the improvement of visual function (VF) and the quality of life connected to vision, or VRQOL (4). Consequently, cataract operations can reduce the morbidity of blindness. To address high volume treatment outcomes in dense cataracts, manual small incision cataract surgery, a kind of extracapsular cataract extraction (ECCE), has been employed. The technique involves creating self-sealing sclera-corneal tunnel⁵. a Phacoemulsification is a further procedure that entails the removal of the nuclear significant portion of a cataractous lens using an aspirating and vibrating ultrasonic handpiece⁶. The types of cataract surgery

are ECCE, MSICS, and then phacoemulsification 7 .

Improving uncorrected visual acuity while lowering surgically induced astigmatism (SIA) to emmetropia and increasing patient satisfaction are some of surgery's main goals. During cataract surgery, the type, length, and location of incisions as well as the suturing techniques can affect SIA and astigmatism⁸. Advanced cataract surgery aims to improve unaided visual acuity while minimizing surgeryrelated complications⁹.

SICS and phaco have astigmatism differences between 0.3 and 0.5 diopters, but the price difference is substantial. A larger incision is preferable for removing some cataracts, such as those with extremely subluxated lenses, very hard cataracts, or poor endothelium counts, even if 99.9% of the time it may be possible to remove a cataract with a tiny incision^{10,11}.

As the patient wants to be able to see without glasses following surgery, cataract surgery is regarded as being equal to refractive surgery¹². The degree of astigmatism has a significant impact on the quality of vision, and both surgical technique and surgeon skill are crucial. Depending on the procedure used and the surgeon's expertise, surgically induced astigmatism (SIA) can occur in anywhere between 7.50 and 75% of patients. In addition, 25% to 30% of eyes have astigmatism that requires correction (>2 D)¹³.

In this study, we are comparing post-surgical corneal astigmatism after phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery (SICS) on the basis of incision length of 1.75mm and 2.75mm.

2. METHODOLOGY

This was a Randomized Control Trial conducted at Superior University, Lahore. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee. All procedures were performed after obtaining expressed written consent from patients.

The patients aged between 45 and 70 years, encompassing both genders and having either phacoemulsification surgery or small incision cataract surgery was included. Specifically, patients with incision sizes ranging from a minimum of 1.75 to a maximum of 2.75 were considered. Furthermore. the study involved patients with uncomplicated bilateral immature senile cataract graded as 3 or less, where the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in either eye was below 0.50. Patients with mature, hypermature, complicated, congenital, and traumatic cataracts associated with other ocular comorbidities, injuries, or previous ocular surgeries were not considered in the study. We also excluded patients with uveitis, pterygium, glaucoma, endophthalmitis, corneal infections, or any type of fundus abnormality. These exclusion criteria were implemented to ensure a homogenous and well-defined patient group for the study, focusing specifically on uncomplicated bilateral immature senile cataracts within the specified age range.

Randomization was done through the lottery method. There were two groups, N=70 patients who underwent cataract surgery by phacoemulsification and N=70 patients who underwent cataract surgery by small incision. These 70 patients from both phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery were further divided into two groups using the same randomization technique. The groups consisted of 35 patients each, categorized based on the incision positions of 1.75mm and 2.75mm during cataract surgery. Each patient received a thorough examination, and visual acuity was recorded on the LogMAR chart. The anterior and posterior examinations were examined using a slit lamp. Autorefractometer and keratometer tests were used to determine astigmatism. Patients were checked out 1-3 and 4-6 weeks after surgery performed by a team of

surgeons, respectively. Slit lamp examination, autorefractometer testing, and keratometry testing were done, and the uncorrected and best corrected visual acuities were recorded. As a result, the astigmatism results of caused by phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery were compared using extended depth of focus lenses in both techniques, and the surgically induced astigmatism was computed from pre and postoperative keratometric data.

Data entry and analysis were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 26. A descriptive analysis was performed to characterize the patient's demographic profile and clinical outcomes. Normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and since all data were found to be nonnormally distributed, non-parametric tests were employed. Visual outcome and cylindrical error at each follow of both groups was conducted using Friedman's test. Mann-Whitney U Test was used to compare outcomes of each group on basis of incision length. A P value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. RESULTS

The study included 140 patients. There were two groups formed from the participants. Out of these 140 patients, 70 underwent short incision cataract surgery in group A, while 70 underwent phacoemulsification in group. 82 (58.6%) were male and 58 (41.4%) were female. The mean \pm standard deviation was 1.41 \pm 0.494. All participants were between ages of 45-70 years. The mean \pm standard deviation was 1.99 \pm 0.791 years.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether the data were normal. Analysis revealed a significance value of <0.05, indicating that the data were not distributed normally. The Friedman's test was employed, at baseline, prior to the procedure, the mean visual acuity was measured at 0.831 ± 0.199 . Subsequent to

phacoemulsification, the mean visual acuity improved to 0.226 ± 0.152 on 6^{th} week of phacoemulsification. The data indicates a significant improvement in visual acuity following the phacoemulsification procedure (P=0.00).

Prior to the SICS procedure, the mean visual acuity was reported as 0.884 ± 0.178 . By Week 6 postoperatively, the mean visual acuity showed continued improvement from day 1, reaching 0.280 ± 0.196 . These findings suggest that the SICS procedure has a significant positive impact on improving visual acuity (P=0.00).

At baseline, before the procedure, the mean cylindrical error was 0.831 \pm 0.199. Following the phacoemulsification procedure, the mean cylindrical error decreased to 0.275 ± 1.304 on Day 1 postoperatively. By Week 1 postoperatively, the mean cylindrical error slightly increased to 0.314 ± 1.155 . However, by Week 6 postoperatively, the mean cylindrical error decreased further to 0.182 ± 0.914 . These findings suggest that phacoemulsification has a significant impact on reducing cylindrical error, as evidenced by the decrease in mean values from baseline to different postoperative time points. The fluctuations observed between Week 1 and Week 6 could indicate some variability in the healing process. Overall, the data indicates a positive effect of the phacoemulsification procedure in improving cylindrical error.

At baseline, prior to the SICS procedure, the mean cylindrical error was reported as 0.831 ± 0.199 . Following the surgery, on Day 1 postoperatively, the mean cylindrical error increased slightly to 0.043 ± 1.445 . By Week 1 postoperatively, there was a further increase in the mean cylindrical error to 0.107 ± 1.215 . Finally, by Week 6 postoperatively, the mean cylindrical error showed a slight decrease to 0.136 ± 0.962 . The significant p-value suggests that any observed changes may be attributed to chance rather than the SICS procedure itself. At incision length of 1.75mm for Visual Outcome, p-value was 0.238 and for Cylindrical Error, p-value was 0.172. In both cases, the Mann-Whitney U test results indicate that there is statistically significant distinction between the groups being compared for visual outcome and cylindrical error.





Figure 2: Comparison of Cylindrical Error with 1.75 incision length between groups



At incision length of 2.75mm, for Visual Outcome, the p-value was 0.743 and for Cylindrical Error, the p-value was 0.007. Based on the Mann-Whitney U test results, there is no significant difference in visual outcome between the groups being compared and in cylindrical error there is statistically significant difference between the groups, indicating that the two groups differ in terms of their visual outcome and cylindrical error values.





Table-1: Descriptive Statistics of GroupUndergoing Phacoemulsification andSICS on basis of Visual Outcomes andCylindrical Error

	0,	DI				
	Phacoemulsification					
	Mean	±Standar	Friedman'	P-		
		d	s Test	Value		
		Deviation	Value			
Baseline	0.831	±0.199				
Vision						
Postoperati	0.467	±0.218				
ve vision			177.138	0.00		
(Day 1)						
Postoperati	0.353	±0.184				
ve vision						
(Week 1)						
Postoperati	0.226	±0.152				
ve vision						
(Week 6)						
	SICS					
	Mean	±Standar	Friedman'	Р-		
		d	s Test	Value		
		Deviation	Value			
Baseline	0.884	±0.178				
Vision						
Postoperati	0.544	±0.260				
ve vision			181.158	0.00		
(Day 1)						
Postoperati	0.437	±0.228				
ve vision						
(Week 1)						
Postoperati	0.280	±0.196				
ve vision						
(Week 6)						
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Phacoem	ulsification			
	Mean	±Standar	Friedman'	P-		
		d	s Test	Value		
		Deviation	Value			
Baseline	0.831	±0.199				
Cylindrical						
Error						

Postoperati	0.275	±1.304		
ve			28.692	0.00
Cylindrical				
Error (Day 1)				
1) Postoperati	0.314	+1 155		
ve	0.514	1.155		
Cylindrical				
Error				
(Week 1)				
Postoperati		±0.914		
ve	0.182			
Cylindrical				
Error (Week 6)				
(Week 0)		S	ICS	
	Mean	+Standar	Friedman'	Р-
		d	s Test	Value
		Deviation	Value	
Baseline	0.831	±0.199		
Cylindrical				
Error	0.040			
Postoperati	0.043	±1.445		
ve Cylindricol				
Cymurical			24 081	0.000
Error (Dav			24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1)			24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati	0.107	±1.215	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve	0.107	±1.215	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical	0.107	±1.215	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Error	0.107	±1.215	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Error (Week 1)	0.107	±1.215	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Error (Week 1) Postoperati	0.107	±1.215 ±0.962	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Error (Week 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical	0.107	±1.215 ±0.962	24.081	0.000
Error (Day 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Error (Week 1) Postoperati ve Cylindrical Frror	0.107	±1.215 ±0.962	24.081	0.000

4. **DISCUSSION**

Patients' quality of life and visual performance are greatly enhanced after having cataract surgery, which is a transformative process.

Phacoemulsification stands out as the most often used surgical procedure for cataract surgery among the different ones that are accessible. SICS, or small-incision cataract surgery, is a different treatment approach that gives equally impressive outcomes and is skillfully executed. Modern cataract surgery places a strong emphasis on minimizing postoperative astigmatism and promoting fast visual recovery. Surgeons prioritize making small, clean corneal or scleral tunnel incisions to accomplish these objectives. The primary goals of modern cataract surgery are to minimize astigmatism caused by the surgery and to ensure a quick recovery of vision.

In a study by Gogate et al^{14} , the efficacy and safety of two cataract surgery methods-phacoemulsification and smallincision cataract surgery (SICS)-were compared. The results demonstrated that procedures were successful in both restoring eyesight to cataract patients. The study discovered that in the sixth postoperative week, 98.4% of patients in the phacoemulsification and SICS groups had best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 6/18 or greater. Phacoemulsification was reported to improve uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) in a higher percentage of patients than SICS. These findings suggest that even if both procedures are safe and effective, phacoemulsification may have a slightly higher likelihood of delivering superior visual outcomes in terms of UCVA. Studies comparing best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) have demonstrated that phacoemulsification (PHACO) and small-incision cataract surgery (SICS) are equally effective treatments. In these studies, the outcomes of the two vision rehabilitation techniques have often been comparable¹⁵. The first postoperative day showed no appreciable visual change between phacoemulsification and smallincision cataract surgery (SICS), according to Singh et al.'s study. This indicates that both techniques are equally effective in delivering comparable visual outcomes soon after surgery¹⁶. In line prior to the study, findings revealed that there was a significant difference in visual outcome on follow ups between phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery.

In a study by El Sayed et al, it was discovered that phacoemulsification (phaco) cataract surgery has a very low incidence of post-operative astigmatism. This shows that when compared to other surgical methods, phaco has an advantage in reducing astigmatism¹⁷. In a research, individuals who received either phacoemulsification (phaco) or smallincision cataract surgery (SICS) did not significantly differ in their post-operative visual acuities. However, the study found that when rigid intraocular lens (IOL) implantation was done in the SICS group as opposed to phaco with rigid IOL implantation, post-operative astigmatism was significantly higher in the SICS group¹⁸. Another study reported by Iqbal et al also revealed slightly greater levels of astigmatism in the small-incision cataract surgery (SICS) group compared to the phacoemulsification (phaco) group¹⁹, which is consistent with the findings from Mahayana et al. The phaco group had a mean astigmatism of 0.98 D, while the SICS group had a mean astigmatism of 1.45 D, according to Mahayana et al^{20} . Furthermore the study revealed statistically significant results on basis of cylindrical error showing phacoemulsification giving better outcome.

In a study conducted by Kumari R in 2020, the researchers demonstrated that the visual outcomes achieved with phacoemulsification and small incision cataract surgery (SICS) were comparable. Both techniques were found to be equally safe and effective in the hands of skilled surgeons, resulting in favorable visual outcomes²¹. In line with their findings, the study similarly found no discernible difference between the phacoemulsification and SICS groups in the distribution of Vision Category at the sixth week postoperative period, with a p-value of 0.000. This suggests that the two groups' visual results a month after surgery were comparable. These findings highlight the same effectiveness of phacoemulsification and SICS in achieving positive visual outcomes. It implies that either procedure can be used, with the right surgical abilities, to provide cataract surgery patients with the best visual outcomes.

5. CONCLUSION

Excellent visual outcomes can be achieved with either manual small incision cataract surgery (SICS) or phacoemulsification. While SICS offers a sutureless procedure with a small incision, phacoemulsification's smaller incision allows for a quicker visual recovery. This study shows that both approaches result in comparable visual outcomes when used with extended depth of focus (EDOF) intraocular lenses (IOLs).

6. REFERENCES

- 1. Son HS, Chang DF, Li C, Liu L, Zafar S, Lum F, Woreta FA. Visual Acuity Outcomes and Complications after Intraocular Lens Exchange: An IRIS® Registry (Intelligent Research in Sight) Analysis. Ophthalmology. 2024 Apr 1;131(4):403-11.
- 2. Kawahara A. Predicting residual astigmatism in cataract surgery. Vision. 2022 Nov 24;6(4):70.
- Wolde Kentayiso T, Alto AA, Abebaw Z, Misker D, Godana Boynito W. Cataract Prevalence and Its Associated Factors among Adult People Aged 40 Years and above in South Ari District, Southern Ethiopia. Advances in Public Health. 2023 Mar 25;2023.
- Havstam Johansson L, Škiljić D, Falk Erhag H, Ahlner F, Pernheim C, Rydberg Sterner T, Wetterberg H, Skoog I, Zetterberg M. Visionrelated quality of life and visual function in a 70-year-old Swedish population. Acta Ophthalmologica. 2020 Aug;98(5):521-9.
- Zitha AJ, Rampersad N. Cataract surgery outcomes: comparison of the extracapsular cataract extraction and manual small incision cataract surgery techniques. African Health Sciences. 2022 Apr 29;22(1):619-29.
- 6. Benítez Martínez M, Baeza Moyano D, González-Lezcano RA. Phacoemulsification: Proposals for

improvement in its application. In Healthcare 2021 Nov 22 (Vol. 9, No. 11, p. 1603). MDPI.

- Enany HA. Phacoemulsification versus manual small incision cataract surgery in hard nuclear cataracts. Delta Journal of Ophthalmology. 2018 Apr 1; 19(2):92.
- Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Soroush S, Shariati R, Miraftab M, Yekta A. The location of incision in cataract surgery and its impact on induced astigmatism. Current opinion in ophthalmology. 2016 Jan 1;27(1):58-64.
- 9. Varghese LM, Hegde V, Lukose S. Intraocular pressure changes following small-incision cataract surgeries in a tertiary care center: a prospective study. Delta Journal of Ophthalmology. 2023 Jul 1;24(3):160-6.
- Pattanayak S, Mathur S, Nanda AK, Subudhi BN. Postoperative astigmatic considerations in manual small-incision cataract surgery-A review. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2022 Nov 1; 70(11):3785-90.
- 11. Ooi KG, Leung KF, Xiong J, Khoo P, Watson SL. Cataract Surgery and Dry Eye.
- 12. Charlesworth E, Alderson AJ, Fylan F, Armstrong RA, Chandra A, Elliott DB. Investigating target refraction advice provided to cataract surgery patients by UK optometrists and ophthalmologists. Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics. 2022 May;42(3):440-53.
- 13. Reinstein DZ, Carp GI, Archer TJ, Vida RS, Yammouni R. Large population outcomes of small incision lenticule extraction in young myopic patients. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2022 Aug 1;38(8):488-96.

- 14. Gogate P, Optom JJ, Deshpande S, Naidoo K. Metaanalysis to compare the safety and efficacy of manual small incision cataract surgery and phacoemulsification. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2015; 22 (3): 362-369. 18.
- 15. Sandhya B. A Comparative Study Visual Outcome of and Complications in Phacoemulsification and Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery at Rajarajeswari Medical College and Hospital Bengaluru (Doctoral dissertation, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).
- 16. Singh SK, Winter I, Surin L. Phacoemulsification versus small incision cataract surgery (SICS): which one is a better surgical option for immature cataract in developing countries?. Nepalese Journal of Ophthalmology. 2009;1(2):95-100.
- El-Sayed SH, El-Sobky HM, Badawy NM, El-Shafy EA. Phacoemulsification versus manual small incision cataract surgery for treatment of cataract. Meno Med J. 2015; 28 (1): 191-196.
- 18. Devendra J, Agarwal S, Singh PK. A comparative study of clear

corneal phacoemulsification with rigid IOL versus SICS; the preferred surgical technique in low socio-economic group patients of rural areas. J Clin Diag Res. 2014; 8 (11): 1-4. 24.

- 19. Iqbal S, KV SM, Menon A, Krishnan P, Latheef N, Kiran KR. A prospective comparative study of visual outcome and complications in small incision cataract surgery and phacoemulsification. Nat J Med All Sci. 2015; 4 (1): 50-55.
- 20. Mahayana IT, Setyowati R, Winarti T, Prawiroranu S. Outcomes of manual small incision cataract surgery (mSICS) compared with phacoemulsification from population based outreach eye camp, in Yogyakarta and Southern Central Java Region, Indonesia. J Comm Emp Health, 2018; 1 (1): 6-10. 25.
- 21. Kumari R, Srivastava MR, Janarthanan SD, Saeed A, Kumari V, Ali J, Janardhanan R. A Comparative study to evaluate visual outcome in Post-operative patients of small incision cataract surgery and phacoemulsification. Ilkogretim Online. 2020;19(4):3570-6.